

Disciplina: Teorias Emergentes em Administração Pública

Carga horária: 30 horas-aula – 02 créditos

Ementa

Fronteiras teórico-metodológicas do campo da Administração Pública. A agenda de pesquisa emergente em administração pública. Perspectivas dos estudos aplicados na administração pública. O Brasil no contexto dos debates contemporâneos em administração pública.

Objetivos

Proporcionar aos discentes o conhecimento de novas abordagens teórico-metodológicas no campo da Administração Pública, enfatizando tendências internacionais, e discutir como o Brasil se insere nesse debate com base em suas peculiaridades.

Métodos de ensino

Aulas expositivas com apresentação e debate dos textos previstos na bibliografia básica da disciplina. Apresentação de seminários por parte dos alunos com base nos textos da disciplina. Elaboração e apresentação em sala de mapas mentais dos textos. Buscas sistematizadas de teorias emergentes em periódicos científicos internacionais da área de administração pública, com elaboração e entrega de relatório com os resultados.

Bibliografia básica

Texto 1: Hattke, F.; Vogel, R. (2023). Theories and theorizing in public administration: A systematic review. *Public Administration Review*, v. 83, 1542-1563.

<https://doi.org/10.1111/puar.13730>

Texto 2: McDonald III, B.; Hall, J.; O'Flynn, J.; Thiel, S. (2022) The future of public administration research: An editor's perspective. *Public Administration*, v. 100, n.1, 59-71.

<https://doi.org/10.1111/padm.12829>

Texto 3: Kattel, R.; Mazzucato, M. (2023). Mission-oriented innovation policies in Europe: From normative to epistemic turn? UCL Institute for Innovation and Public Purpose. *Working Paper*. <https://www.ucl.ac.uk/bartlett/public-purpose/wp2023-09>

Texto 4: Zaki, B.; Pattyn, V.; Wayenberg, E. (2024). Policymaking in an age of polycrises: emerging perspectives. *Policy Design & Practice*, v. 7, n. 4, 377-389.

<https://doi.org/10.1080/25741292.2024.2432048>

Texto 5: Baldwin, E.; Thiel, A.; McGinnis, M.; Kellner, E. (2024). Empirical research on polycentric governance: Critical gaps and a framework for studying long-term change. *Policy Studies Journal*. v. 52, n. 2, p. 199-468. <https://doi.org/10.1111/psj.12518>

Texto 6: Cugurullo, F.; Xu, Y. (2024). When AIs become oracles: generative artificial intelligence, anticipatory urban governance, and the future of cities. *Policy and Society*. <https://doi.org/10.1093/polsoc/puae025>

Texto 7: Haug, N.; Dan, S.; Mergel, I. (2024). Digitally-induced change in the public sector: a systematic review and research agenda. *Public Management Review*, v. 26, n. 7, 1963-1987. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14719037.2023.2234917>

Texto 8: Sousa, W.; Melo, E.; Bermejo, P.; Farias, R.; Gomes, A. (2019). How and where is artificial intelligence in the public sector going? A literature review and research agenda. *Government Information Quarterly*, v. 36, n. 4, 1-14. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.giq.2019.07.004>

Texto 9: Kim, J.; Ahn, S. (2024). The platform policy matrix: Promotion and regulation. *Policy & Internet*, p. 1-19. <https://doi.org/10.1002/poi3.414>

Texto 10: Eiró, F.; Lotta, G. (2024) On the frontline of global inequalities: A decolonial approach to the study of street-level bureaucracies. *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory*, v. 34, n. 1, 67-79. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jopart/muad019>

Texto 11: Gofen, A.; Rønning, R.; Sønderskov, M. (2024). Street-level bureaucracy and co-creation: Towards theory synthesis and cross-fertilization. *Public Management Review*, 1-25. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14719037.2024.2429013>

Texto 12: Licsandru, T.; Meliou, E.; Steccolini, I.; Chang, S. (2025). Citizens' inclusion in public services: A systematic review of the Public Administration literature and reflection on future research avenues. *Public Administration*, 1-23. <https://doi.org/10.1111/padm.13049>

Texto 13: Halling, A.; Baekgaard, M. (2024). Administrative burden in citizen-state interactions: A systematic literature review. *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory*, v. 34, 180-195. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jopart/muad023>

Texto 14: Peters, B.; Pierre, J.; Sorensen, E.; Torfing, J. (2022). Bringing political science back into public administration research. *Governance*, v. 35, 961-982. <https://doi.org/10.1111/gove.12705>

Texto 15: Guimaraes, T.; Gomes, A.; Guarido Filho, E. (2018) Administration of justice: an emerging research field. *RAUSP Management Journal*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/RAUSP-04-2018-010>

Bibliografia complementar

Bernardes, M.; Milagres, R.; Becker, P.; Wegner, D. (2024). Gerenciando paradoxos da estratégia aberta no setor público. *Revista de Administração Pública*, v. 58, n. 2. <https://doi.org/10.1590/0034-761220230177>

Brasil, F.; Peres, U.; Machado, G.; Garcia, F. (2023). Agenda governamental brasileira: prioridades e mudanças na dinâmica da atenção sobre a distribuição orçamentária da União (2000-2021). *Revista de Administração Pública*. v. 57, n 5.

<https://doi.org/10.1590/0034-761220220394>

Camões, M.; Gomes, A.; Rizardi, B.; Lemos, J. (2023) Os ciclos de engajamento no trabalho de servidores públicos federais. *Revista de Administração Pública*, v. 57, n. 4, 1-21.

<https://doi.org/10.1590/0034-761220230061>

Haug, N.; Dan, S.; Mergel, I. (2024) Digitally-induced change in the public sector: a systematic review and research agenda. *Public Management Review*, v. 26, n.7, 1963-1987. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14719037.2023.2234917>

He, C.; Lo, C.; Liu, N.; Tang, S. (2024). From marketization to agency reclassification: a qualitative comparative analysis of de-agencification in China. *Public Administration Review*, 1–23. <https://doi.org/10.1111/puar.13876>

Jong, J.; Waardenburg, M.; Steenbergen, B.; Vachon, N. (2023). All minds on deck? Assessing distributed strategic capacity in public-sector organizations. *Review of Public Personnel Administration*, v. 43, n.1, 33-55.

<https://doi.org/10.1177/0734371X211032389>

Kallo, J.; Valimaa, J. (2024). Anticipatory governance in government: the case of Finnish higher education. *Higher Education*, early online. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10734-024-01211-3>

Lodhi, I. (2021). Globalisation and public policy: bridging the disciplinary and epistemological boundaries. *Policy and Society*, v. 40, n. 4, 522–544.

<https://doi.org/10.1080/14494035.2021.1987137>

Medeiros, H.; Santos, G.; Guedes, B.; Santiago, K.; Brito, K. (2023). A design process to define public challenges addressing SDGs. *Revista de Administração Pública*, v. 57, n. 4.

<https://doi.org/10.1590/0034-761220230008x>

Mergel, I.; Dickinson, H.; Stenvall, J.; Gasco, M. (2023) Implementing AI in the public sector. *Public Management Review*, 1–14.

<https://doi.org/10.1080/14719037.2023.2231950>

Meyer, T.; Vetulani-Cęgiel, A. (2024). Transparency as an empty signifier? Assessing transparency in EU and platform initiatives on online political advertising and actors. *Policy & Internet*, 1–21. <https://doi.org/10.1002/poi3.417>

Nicoli, F.; Zeitlin, J. (2024). Introduction: escaping the politics trap? EU integration pathways beyond the polycrisis. *Journal of European Public Policy*. v. 31, n. 10, p. 3011–3035. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13501763.2024.236639>

Simon, M.; Fischer, M.; Hollway, J.; Jager, N. (2023). Design paths of federal intergovernmental cooperation. *Policy Studies Journal*. v. 51, 773–792.

<https://doi.org/10.1111/psj.12498>

Time, M.; Veggeland, F. (2024). From ideal to reality: governance of AMR in a multi-level setting. *Journal of European Public Policy*, v. 31, n. 12, 1–25.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/13501763.2024.2400271>

Verdorfer, A.; van Ginkel, G. (2024) Adapting to organizational change in a public sector high-reliability context: the role of negative affect and normative commitment to change. *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory*. v. 34, n. 3, 465–479,
<https://doi.org/10.1093/jopart/muae009>